IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

SECOND AMENDMENT ARMS, et al.,)	
Plaintiffs,	
v.)	No. 10-CV-4257
)	Judge Robert M. Dow, Jr.
CITY OF CHICAGO, et al.,	Magistrate Judge Sheila M. Finnegan
Defendants.	

DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE PLEAD TO PLAINTIFFS' FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendants City of Chicago (the "City"), Mayor Rahm Emanuel, Superintendent of Police Garry McCarthy, and City Clerk Susana Mendoza (collectively, "Defendants"), by their counsel, Stephen R. Patton, Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, hereby respectfully move this Court for a two-week extension of time, to and including January 2, 2015, to answer or otherwise plead to Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint. In support of their motion, Defendants state as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs filed their Fourth Amended Complaint on October 22, 2014. In their eight-count complaint, Plaintiffs challenge various provisions of the City's firearms regulations under the First, Second and Fourteenth Amendments, including a challenge to the City's recently enacted ordinance regulating the location and operation of firearm retail establishments in Chicago.
- 2. Pursuant to the Court's Order dated October 22, 2014, Defendants had until November 26, 2014, to answer or otherwise plead to Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint.

- 3. On November 21, 2014, Defendants moved for an extension of time, to and including December 19, 2014, to answer or otherwise plead to Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint. On November 24, 2014, this Court granted that motion.
- 4. Defendants respectfully request a second extension of time of two weeks, to and including January 2, 2015, to answer or otherwise plead to Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint. As was the case previously, Defendants, due to commitments in other matters, require additional time to research and formulate an appropriate response to Plaintiffs' claims, several of which raise constitutional questions of first impression. Those additional matters include responsive pleadings in *Friends of the Parks v. Chicago Park District*, No. 14 C 9096 (N.D. Illinois), *Illinois Transportation Trade Association v. City of Chicago*, No. 14 C 827 (N.D. Illinois), *Hanna v. City of Chicago*, No. 11 C 4885 (N.D. Illinois), and *Fraternal Order of Police v. City of Chicago*, 14 CH 17454 (Circuit Court of Cook County) and taking and defending several depositions in *LMP Services*, *Inc. v. City of Chicago*, No. 12 CH 41235 (Circuit Court of Cook County).
- 5. The requested extension will not interrupt the Court's status hearing scheduled for January 8, 2015 at 9:00 a.m.
- 6. Defendants' counsel spoke with Plaintiffs' counsel regarding this motion, and Plaintiffs have no objection to the granting of an extension of time, to and including January 2, 2015, for Defendants to answer or otherwise plead to Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant Defendants an extension of time, to and including January 2, 2015, to answer or otherwise plead to Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint and grant Defendants such further relief as this Court deems just and appropriate.

Case: 1:10-cv-04257 Document #: 152 Filed: 12/17/14 Page 3 of 3 PageID #:1102

Date: December 17, 2014 Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN R. PATTON,

Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago

By: /s/William Macy Aguiar

Senior Counsel

William Macy Aguiar
David M. Baron
City of Chicago, Department of Law
Constitutional and Commercial Litigation Division
30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1230
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-7686 / 744-9018

Attorneys for Defendants